



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,648	01/18/2002	David W. Anderson	21402-250 (CURA-550)	5999
7590	06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
Ivor R. Elrifi MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY and POPEO, P.C. One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111			MITRA, RITA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/052,648	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ANDERSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rita Mitra	<b>Art Unit</b> 1653	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Election/Restriction*

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 29, 32 drawn to an isolated polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30; variants, allelic variants, wherein the said allelic variant comprises an amino acid sequence encoded by the nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27 and 29; a pharmaceutical composition; a kit comprising the pharmaceutical composition; classified in class 530, subclass 350; class 514, subclass 2.

Should Group I be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1, each items a-d, claim 2 and select one nucleic acid sequence from claim 3.

- II. Claims 5-14, 30 and 33 drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleic acid sequence encoding a polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, wherein the nucleic acid sequence differs by a single nucleotide from a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29; variants, fragments and complements thereof; vectors; cells; a pharmaceutical composition; a kit comprising the pharmaceutical composition; classified in class 435, subclass 69.1, 320.1, 252.3; class 536, subclass 23.5.

Should Group II be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 5, each items a-e, and select one nucleic acid sequence from claims 8, 9 (items a and b) and 10.

- III. Claims 15-17, 31 and 34, drawn to an antibody that selectively binds to the polypeptide of claim 1, wherein the antibody is a monoclonal antibody; a pharmaceutical composition; a kit comprising the pharmaceutical composition ; classified in class 530, subclass 387.1+.

Should Group III be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1.

- IV. Claim 18, drawn to a method determining the presence of a polypeptide of claim 1 by using an antibody that binds immunospecifically to the polypeptide; classified in class 530, subclass 350, 300; class 435, subclass 7.1.

Should Group IV be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1.

- V. Claim 19, drawn to a method for detecting the presence of a nucleic acid molecule of claim 5 in a sample by contacting the sample with a nucleic acid probe that binds to said nucleic acid molecule; classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, 24.3, 24.31; class 435, subclass 6.

Should Group V be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence encoded by a nucleic acid sequence from claim 5.

- VI. Claim 20, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that binds to a polypeptide of claim 1 by contacting said polypeptide with said agent; classified in class 530, subclass 350, 300; class 435, subclass 7.1.

Should Group VI be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1.

- VII. Claims 21 and 22, drawn to the use of an agent that modulates the expression or activity of a polypeptide of claim 1 by contacting a cell expressing the polypeptide with said agent, or contacting a cell expressing the polypeptide with a

compound that binds to said polypeptide in an amount sufficient to modulate the activity of the polypeptide; classified in class 530, subclass 350, 300; class 435, subclass 7.1, 69.1.

Should Group VII be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1.

- VIII. Claims 23, 24 and 35, drawn to a method of treating or preventing a NOVX-associated disorder by administering to a subject the polypeptide of claim 1, wherein said subject is a human; classified in class 530, subclass 350, 300; class 514, subclass 2.

Should Group VIII be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1 and select polypeptide from claim 35.

- IX. Claims 25, 26 and 35, drawn to a method of treating or preventing a NOVX-associated disorder by administering to a subject the nucleic acid of claim 5, wherein said subject is a human; classified in class 536, subclass 23.5; class 514, subclass 44.

Should Group IX be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence encoded by a nucleic acid sequence from claim 5 and select nucleic acid from claim 35.

- X. Claims 27, 28 and 35, drawn to a method of treating or preventing a NOVX-associated disorder by administering the antibody of claim 15, wherein said subject is a human; classified in class 530, subclass 350, 300; class 424, subclass 130.1+

Should Group X be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1 and select antibody from claim 35.

- XI. Claims 36 and 37, drawn to a method for screening for a modulator of activity or of latency or predisposition to a NOVX-associated disorder by administering a

test compound to a test animal, wherein said test animal recombinantly expresses the polypeptide of claim 1, wherein said test animal expresses a test protein transgene or expresses said transgene under the control of a promoter at an increased level relative to a wild-type test animal; classified in class 530, subclass 350, 300; class 435, subclass 69.1; class 800, subclass 8+.

Should Group XI be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1.

- XII. Claim 38, drawn to a method for determining the presence of or predisposition to a disease associated with altered levels of the polypeptide of claim 1 in a mammal subject; classified in class 530, subclass 350, 300; class 435, subclass 69.1, 7.1

Should Group XII be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence from claim 1.

- XIII. Claim 39, drawn to a method for determining the presence of or predisposition to a disease associated with altered levels of the nucleic acid of claim 5 in a mammal subject; classified in class 536, subclass 23.5; class 435, subclass 6.

Should Group XIII be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence encoded by a nucleic acid sequence from claim 5.

- XIV. Claim 40, drawn to a method of treating a pathological state in a mammal by administering to the mammal a polypeptide in an amount that is sufficient to alleviate the pathological state, wherein the polypeptide is having an amino acid sequence at least 95% identical to a polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence of at least one of SEQ ID NOs: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, or a fragment thereof; classified in class 530, subclass 350, 300; class 514, subclass 2.

Should Group XIV be elected, applicants are required to select one amino acid sequence.

Art Unit: 1653

- XV. Claim 41, drawn to a method of treating a pathological state in a mammal by administering to the mammal an antibody of claim 15 in an amount that is sufficient to alleviate the pathological state; classified in class 530, subclass 387.1+; class 424, subclass 130.1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the protein product of Invention I can be made by another materially distinct processes, such as purification from the natural source or by chemical synthesis. Therefore, the inventions are distinct.

The polypeptide of group I is related to the antibody of group III as being the antigen for the antibody. Although the protein and antibody are related, they are distinct inventions. The protein can be used in another and materially different process from the use for production of the antibody, such as in a pharmaceutical composition in its own right, or to assay or purify a receptor. Further, the protein of Group I and the antibody of group III are structurally and functionally distinct molecules with different amino acids and different sequences.

Inventions I and IV/V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polypeptide of group I is not necessary for the practice of invention of IV and V. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Invention I is related to inventions VI, VII, VIII, XI, XII and XIV as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II

Art Unit: 1653

has demonstrated different processes of use as set forth in the claims of Groups VI, VII, VIII, XI, XII and XIV.

Inventions I and IX, X, XIII and XV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polypeptide of group I is not necessary for the practice of invention of IX, X, XIII and XV. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the nucleic acid of group II is a separate and distinct chemical entity from the antibody of group III. The nucleic acid of Group II does not encode the antibody of Group III and is not used for the practice of Group III. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Invention II is unrelated to inventions IV, VI, VII, VIII, X, XI, XII, XIV and XV. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the nucleic acid of Group II is not used for the practice of the methods of groups IV, VI, VII, VIII, X, XI, XII, XIV and XV. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Inventions II and inventions V, IX and XIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid of Group II can be used on another, materially distinct process, such as recombinant production of protein.

Inventions III and inventions IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, XI, XII, XIII and XIV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the antibody of III is not necessary for the



Art Unit: 1653

practice of inventions of IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, XI, XII, XIII and XIV. Therefore inventions are distinct.

Invention III is related to inventions X and XV as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of group III can be used on another, materially distinct process, such as affinity chromatography.

Invention IV and inventions V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII, XIII, XIV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the antibody of IV is not necessary for the practice of inventions V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII, XIII, XIV. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

The inventions IV, X and XV are related by virtue of the antibody which is used in the methods. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because they require different steps and are directed to different ends and different effect. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Inventions V and inventions VI, VII, VIII, X, XI, XII, XIV, XV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the nucleic acid of V is not necessary for the practice of inventions VI, VII, VIII, X, XI, XII, XIV, XV. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Inventions V, IX and XIII are related by virtue of the nucleic acid which is used in the methods. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because they require different steps and are directed to different ends and different effect. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Inventions VI, VII, VIII, XI, XII and XIV are related by virtue of the polypeptide, which is used in the methods. The inventions are distinct, each from the other, because they require different steps and are directed to different ends and different effect. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

Inventions VI and inventions IX, X, XII, XV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polypeptide of VI is not necessary for the practice of inventions IX, X, XII, XV. Therefore the inventions are distinct.

The restriction requires for a selection of a single sequence of polynucleotide sequence and a single sequence of amino acid sequence because each sequence has a different chemical and physical property (See specification pages 2+ and Table 1). For example the NOV1 nucleic acid molecule has the nucleotide sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: 1; and the NOV1 protein has amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO: 2 (Table 1) which is homologous to Delta serrate ligand receptor protein (page 7); while NOV2 nucleic acid molecule has the nucleotide sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: 11; and the NOV2 protein has amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO: 12 (Table 1), which is homologous to a protein kinase (page 8). In addition the invention also includes NOV3 to NOV9, which have different nucleic acid and amino acid sequences (see Table 1), which are distinct from each other. Therefore, the use of each sequence in the method claims would have a different effect, for example use of a nucleic acid sequence from NOV1 as a probe for the detection of nucleic acid in a sample may not detect the nucleic acid sequence of NOV2, while use of a polypeptide sequence of NOV1 for identifying a compound that specifically binds to the polypeptide of NOV1 may not detect the compounds that bind with the polypeptide of NOV2. Therefore each sequence is distinct from the other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Attorney Ivor Elriffi on January 28, 2004, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

*Inquiries*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rita Mitra whose telephone number is (571) 272-0954. The Examiner can normally be reached from 9:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. on weekdays. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low, can be reached at (571) 272-0951. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0547.



Rita Mitra, Ph.D.

May17, 2004

  
CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600